

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/414,731	GANESAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer Liversedge	3692

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jennifer Liversedge. (3) _____
 (2) William Cook. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 November 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation Sheet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KAMBIZ ABDI
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "KAMBIZ ABDI". Below the signature, the number "AU 7672" is written.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Amendments to claim 22 were not made in the submitted amendment. Other independent claims had been amended based on an earlier interview in order to place in condition for allowance. Amendments to claim 22 were discussed to place claim 22 in condition for allowance and faxed to Examiner to include in Examiner's Amendment in the Office Action for Allowance.

**KAMBIZ ABDI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**